SPECTRAL PROPERTIES
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Abstract. We present an unified framework to identify spectra of Jacobi matrices. We give applications to long-standing conjecture of Chihara ([4], [5]) concerning one-quarter class of orthogonal polynomials, to the conjecture posed by Roehner and Valent [20] concerning continuous spectra of generators of birth and death processes and to spectral properties of operators studied by Janas, Moszyński [14] and Pedersen [19].

1. Introduction

Given sequences \( \{a_n\}_{n=0}^{\infty} \) and \( \{b_n\}_{n=0}^{\infty} \) such that \( a_n > 0 \) and \( b_n \in \mathbb{R} \) we set

\[
C = \begin{pmatrix}
    b_0 & a_0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \ldots \\
    a_0 & b_1 & a_1 & 0 & 0 & \ldots \\
    0 & a_1 & b_2 & a_2 & 0 & \ldots \\
    0 & 0 & a_2 & b_3 & a_3 & \ldots \\
    \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots
\end{pmatrix}.
\]

The operator \( C \) is defined on the domain \( \text{Dom}(C) = \{x \in \ell^2 : CX \in \ell^2\} \), where

\[
\ell^2 = \{x \in \mathbb{C}^\mathbb{N} : \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} |x_n|^2 < \infty\}
\]

and is called a Jacobi matrix.

The study of Jacobi matrices is motivated by connections with orthogonal polynomials and classical moment problem (see e.g. [22]). Also every self-adjoint operator can be represented as a direct sum of Jacobi matrices. In particular, generators of birth and death processes may be seen as Jacobi matrices acting on weighted \( \ell^2 \) spaces.

There are several approaches to the problem of the identification of the spectrum of unbounded Jacobi matrices. A method often used is based on subordination theory (see e.g. [6], [15], [18]). Another technique uses the analysis of commutator between Jacobi matrix and a suitable chosen matrix (see e.g. [21]). The case of Jacobi matrices with monotonic weights was considered mainly by Dombrowski (see e.g. [8]), where the author developed commutator techniques which enabled qualitative spectral analysis of examined operators.

The present article is motivated by commutator techniques of Dombrowski and some ideas of Clark [6]. In fact, commutators do not appear here directly but are hidden in some of our expressions.
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Let \( C \) be a Jacobi matrix and assume that the matrix \( C \) is self-adjoint. The spectrum of the operator \( C \) will be denoted by \( \sigma(C) \), the set of all its eigenvalues by \( \sigma_p(C) \) and the set of all accumulation points of \( \sigma(C) \) by \( \sigma_{ess}(C) \). For a real number \( x \) we define \( x^- = \max(-x, 0) \).

Our main result is the following theorem.

**Theorem A.** Let \( C \) be a Jacobi matrix. If there is a positive sequence \( \{\alpha_n\} \) such that

(a) \( \lim_{n \to \infty} a_n = \infty \),
(b) \( \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \left[ \frac{a_{n+1}}{a_n} \frac{\alpha_{n+1}}{\alpha_n} - \frac{a_n}{a_{n-1}} \frac{\alpha_{n-1}}{\alpha_n} \right] < \infty \),
(c) \( \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{a_{n-1}} \left| \frac{a_{n-1}}{a_n} - \frac{\alpha_{n-1}}{\alpha_n} \right| < \infty \),
(d) \( \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \left| \frac{b_{n+1}}{a_n} - \frac{b_n}{a_{n-1}} \frac{\alpha_{n-1}}{\alpha_n} \right| < \infty \),
(e) \( \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{a_n \alpha_n} = \infty \),
(f) \( \lim_{n \to \infty} \frac{\alpha_{n-1}}{a_n} \frac{a_n}{a_{n-1}} = 1 \),
(g) \( \limsup_{n \to \infty} \frac{|b_n|}{a_n} < 2 \).

then the Jacobi matrix \( C \) is self-adjoint and satisfies \( \sigma_p(C) = \emptyset \) and \( \sigma(C) = \mathbb{R} \).

The importance of Theorem A lies in the fact that we have a flexibility in the choice of the sequence \( \alpha_n \). Some choices of the sequence \( \alpha_n \) are given in Section 4. The simplest case is the following result.

**Corollary A.** Assume

(a) \( \lim_{n \to \infty} a_n = \infty \),
(b) \( \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{a_n^2} = \infty \),
(c) \( \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \left[ \left( \frac{a_{n+1}}{a_n} \right)^2 - 1 \right]^- < \infty \),
(d) \( \limsup_{n \to \infty} \frac{|b_n|}{a_n} < 2 \),
(e) \( \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{|b_{n+1} - b_n|}{a_n} < \infty \).

Then the Jacobi matrix \( C \) is self-adjoint and satisfies \( \sigma_p(C) = \emptyset \) and \( \sigma(C) = \mathbb{R} \).

In [11, Lemma 2.6] it was proven that if the nonnegative sequence \( a_n^2 - a_{n-1}^2 \) is bounded and \( b_n \equiv 0 \) then the matrix \( C \) has no eigenvalues. Corollary A gives additional information that
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In this case holds $\sigma(C) = \mathbb{R}$. Moreover, the assumptions of Corollary [A] are weaker than the conditions of [11, Lemma 2.6].

In Section 6 we provide examples showing sharpness of Corollary [A]. In particular, condition (b) is necessary in the class of monotonic sequences $\{a_n\}$ and condition (c) could not be replaced by $[(a_{n+1}/a_n)^2 - 1]^{-} \to 0$. Corollary [B] shows that in general condition (d) is necessary. Unfortunately, we do not know whether condition (d) is implied by the rest of the assumptions. Author knows only examples satisfying assumptions of Corollary [A] when $|b_n|/a_n \to 0$.

In Section 5 we apply Corollary [A] to resolve a conjecture (see [20]) about continuous spectra of generators of birth and death processes. We also present there applications to the following conjecture.

Conjecture A (Chihara, [4], [5]). Assume that a Jacobi matrix $C$ is self-adjoint, $b_n \to \infty$, the smallest point $\rho$ of $\sigma_{\text{ess}}(C)$ is finite and

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} \frac{a_n^2}{b_nb_{n+1}} = \frac{1}{4}.$$ 

Then $\sigma_{\text{ess}}(C) = [\rho, \infty)$.

A direct consequence of Corollary [A] providing easy to check additional assumptions to Conjecture [A] is the following result.

Corollary B. Assume

(a) $\lim_{n \to \infty} a_n = \infty$,

(b) $\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{a_n} = \infty$,

(c) $\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \left[ \frac{a_{n+1} - 1}{a_n} \right]^{-} < \infty$,

(d) $\lim_{n \to \infty} [a_{n-1} - b_n + a_n] = M$.

Then the Jacobi matrix $C$ satisfies $\sigma_{\text{ess}}(C) = [-M, \infty)$. Moreover, if $a_{n+1}/a_n \to 1$ then

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} \frac{a_n^2}{b_nb_{n+1}} = \frac{1}{4}.$$ 

Let us present ideas behind the proof of Theorem [A]. Let the difference operator $J$ be defined by

$$(Jx)_n = -i\alpha_{n-1}x_{n-1} + i\alpha_nx_{n+1} \quad (n \geq 0)$$

for a positive sequence $\{\alpha_n\}_{n=0}^{\infty}$ and $\alpha_{-1} = x_{-1} = 0$. Then we define commutator $K$ on finite sequences by the formula

$$-2iK = CJ - JC.$$ 

The expression $S_n = \langle K(p^n), p^n \rangle$, where $p^n = \{p_0, p_1, \ldots, p_n, 0, 0, \ldots\}$, $\{p_k\}$ is the formal eigenvector of $C$ and $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ is the scalar product on $\ell^2$, proved to be an useful tool to show that the matrix $C$ has continuous spectrum (see e.g. [8], [11], [13]).

Important observation is that we can give closed form for $S_n$ (see [11]). To the author’s knowledge this closed form has been known only for $\alpha_n = a_n$ (see [7]). Related expression for $\alpha_n \equiv 1$ was analysed in [6]. Adaptation of techniques from [6] allow us to circumvent
technical difficulties present in Dombrowski’s approach. Extending definition of $S_n$ to generalized eigenvectors (see (1)) enable us to show that $\sigma(C) = \mathbb{R}$.

The article is organized as follows: in Section 2 we present definitions and well-known facts important for our argument. In Section 3 we prove Theorem [A] whereas in Section 4 we show its variants. In particular, we identify spectra of operators considered in [10] and [11]. In Section 5 we present applications of Corollary [A] to some open problems. Finally, in the last section we discuss the necessity of the assumptions of Corollary [A]. We present also examples showing that in some cases Corollary [A] is stronger than results known in the literature.
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2. Tools

Given a Jacobi matrix $C$, $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$ and real numbers $(a, b) \neq (0, 0)$ we introduce a generalized eigenvector $\{u_n\}$ by asking

\begin{equation}
\begin{aligned}
u_0 &= a, & \nu_1 &= b, \\
a_n\nu_{n+1} &= (\lambda - b_n)\nu_n - a_{n-1}\nu_{n-1} & (n \geq 1).
\end{aligned}
\end{equation}

Furthermore we define the sequence of polynomials

\begin{equation}
\begin{aligned}
p_{-1}(\lambda) &= 0, & p_0(\lambda) &= 1, \\
a_n p_{n+1}(\lambda) &= (\lambda - b_n) p_n(\lambda) - a_{n-1} p_{n-1}(\lambda) & (n \geq 0).
\end{aligned}
\end{equation}

The sequence $\{p_n(\lambda)\}$ is a formal eigenvector of matrix $C$ associated with an eigenvalue $\lambda$.

Observe that $\{p_n(\cdot)\}_{n=0}^\infty$ is a sequence of polynomials. Moreover, the sequence is orthonormal with respect to the measure $\mu(\cdot) = \langle E(\cdot)\delta_0, \delta_0 \rangle$, where $E$ is the spectral resolution of the matrix $C$, $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ is the scalar product on $\ell^2$ and $\delta_0 = (1, 0, 0, \ldots)$.

The following propositions are well-known. We include them for the sake of completeness.

**Proposition 1.** Let $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$. If every generalized eigenvector $\{u_n\}$ does not belong to $\ell^2$ then the matrix $C$ is self-adjoint, $\lambda \notin \sigma_p(C)$ and $\lambda \in \sigma(C)$.

**Proof.** [22] Theorem 3] asserts that $C$ is self-adjoint provided that at least one generalized eigenvector $\{u_n\} \notin \ell^2$. Direct computation shows that $\lambda \in \sigma_p(C)$ if and only if $\{p_n(\lambda)\} \in \ell^2$. Therefore the matrix $C$ is self-adjoint and $\lambda \notin \sigma_p(C)$.

Observe that the vector $x$ such that $(C - \lambda I)x = \delta_0$ satisfies the following recurrence relation

\[b_0x_0 + a_0x_1 = \lambda x_0 + 1, \]
\[a_{n-1}x_{n-1} + b_nx_n + a_nx_{n+1} = \lambda x_n & (n \geq 1).\]

Hence $x$ is a generalized eigenvector, thus $x \notin \ell^2$. Therefore the operator $C - \lambda I$ is not surjective, i.e. $\lambda \in \sigma(C)$. \qed

**Proposition 2.** Let $C$ and $\tilde{C}$ be Jacobi matrices defined by sequences $\{a_n\}$, $\{b_n\}$ and $\{a_n\}$, $\{-b_n\}$ respectively. Then

\[\sigma(C) = -\sigma(\tilde{C}), \quad \sigma_p(C) = -\sigma_p(\tilde{C}),\]
Proof. Let $U$ be the diagonal matrix with a sequence $\{(−1)^n\}_{n=0}^{\infty}$ on the main diagonal. From the identity

$$UCU^{-1} = -\tilde{C}$$

and equality of domains the conclusion follows. \(\square\)

**Proposition 3.** Let $C$ be a self-adjoint Jacobi matrix associated with the sequence $b_n \equiv 0$. Let $C_e$ and $C_o$ be restrictions of $C \cdot C$ to the subspaces $\text{span}\{\delta_{2k} : k \in \mathbb{N}\}$ and $\text{span}\{\delta_{2k+1} : k \in \mathbb{N}\}$ respectively. Then $C_e$ and $C_o$ are Jacobi matrices associated with

$$a_n^e = a_{2n}a_{2n+1}, \quad b_n^e = a_{2n-1}^2 + a_{2n}^2$$

$$a_n^o = a_{2n+1}a_{2n+2}, \quad b_n^o = a_{2n}^2 + a_{2n+1}^2.$$

respectively. Moreover, $C_o$ and $C_e$ are self-adjoint and

$$\sigma(C_o) = \sigma(C_e) = (\sigma(C))^2, \quad \sigma_p(C_o) = \sigma_p(C_e) = (\sigma_p(C))^2,$$

when $0 \notin \sigma_p(C)$ and $0 \notin \sigma_p(\tilde{C})$, where $\tilde{C}$ is a self-adjoint Jacobi matrix associated with the sequences $\{a_{n+1}\}_{n=0}^{\infty}$ and $b_n \equiv 0$, and for a set $X$ we define $X^2 = \{x^2 : x \in X\}$.

**Proof.** By direct computation it may be proved that $C_o$ and $C_e$ satisfies \(\text{[3]}\).

Let $\{p_n^e\}$ be the sequence of associated polynomials to the matrix $C_e$. Then \(\text{[22], Theorem 3}\) asserts that $C_e$ is self-adjoint provided $\{p_n^e(0)\} \notin \ell^2$. It is known that $p_{2n}(x) = p_n^o(x^2)$ (see e.g. \(\text{[12], Section 4}\)). Since $p_{2k+1}(0) = 0$ and $0 \notin \sigma_p(C)$ we have

$$\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} p_n^2(0) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} p_{2n}^2(0) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} (p_n^e(0))^2.$$ 

Therefore $C_e$ is self-adjoint.

Assume that $0 \notin \sigma_p(\tilde{C})$. Observe that $C_o = \tilde{C}_e$. Therefore the previous argument applied to $\tilde{C}$ implies also that $C_o$ is self-adjoint.

The conclusion of spectra follows from e.g. \(\text{[12], Section 4}\). \(\square\)

3. **Proof of the main theorem**

Given a generalized eigenvector $\{u_n\}$ and a positive sequence $\{\alpha_n\}$ we set

$$S_n = a_{n-1}\alpha_{n-1}u_{n-1}^2 + a_n\alpha_nu_n^2 - (\lambda - b_n)\alpha_{n-1}u_{n-1}u_n \quad (n \geq 1).$$

Using the identity $a_{n-1}\alpha_{n-1} = (\lambda - b_n)u_n - a_nu_{n+1}$ we get an equivalent formula

$$S_n = \frac{\alpha_{n-1}}{a_{n-1}}a_n^2u_{n+1}^2 + a_n\alpha_nu_n^2 - \frac{\alpha_{n-1}}{a_{n-1}}a_n(\lambda - b_n)u_{n+1}u_n \quad (n \geq 1).$$

The sequence $S_n$ for $\alpha_n = a_n$ was previously used in the study of Jacobi matrices, but only in the case of bounded ones (see e.g. \(\text{[7], [10]}\)). In the case of unbounded operators a sequence similar to $S_n$ for $\alpha_n \equiv 1$ was also used in \(\text{[6]}\).

The following proposition is an adaptation of \(\text{[6], Lemma 3.1}\).

**Proposition 4.** Let $\{u_n\}$ be a generalized eigenvector associated with $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$ and

$$\tilde{S}_n = u_{n+1}^2 + u_n^2.$$
Assume that \( a_n \to \infty \), and
\[
\lim_{n \to \infty} \alpha_{n-1} \frac{a_n}{a_{n-1}} = 1, \quad \limsup_{n \to \infty} \frac{|b_n|}{a_n} < 2.
\]
Then there exist constants \( c_1 > 0, c_2 > 0 \) such that for sufficiently large \( n \)
\[
c_1 a_n \alpha_n \leq S_n \leq c_2 a_n \alpha_n.
\]

**Proof.** Observe that from the representation (5) we have that
\( S_n \) is a quadratic form with respect to variables \( u_n \) and \( u_{n+1} \). Let the minimal and the maximal value of \( S_n \) under the condition \( \tilde{S}_n = 1 \) be denoted by \( w_{\min}^n \) and \( w_{\max}^n \) respectively. Then
\[
2w_{\min}^n a_n \alpha_n = 1 + \alpha_{n-1} \alpha_n \frac{a_n}{a_{n-1}} - \sqrt{(1 - \frac{\alpha_{n-1} a_n}{\alpha_n a_{n-1}})^2 + \left( \frac{\alpha_{n-1} \alpha_n}{\alpha_n a_{n-1}} \frac{\lambda - b_n}{a_n} \right)^2},
\]
\[
2w_{\max}^n a_n \alpha_n = 1 + \frac{\alpha_{n-1} a_n}{\alpha_n a_{n-1}} + \sqrt{(1 - \frac{\alpha_{n-1} a_n}{\alpha_n a_{n-1}})^2 + \left( \frac{\alpha_{n-1} \alpha_n}{\alpha_n a_{n-1}} \frac{\lambda - b_n}{a_n} \right)^2}.
\]
Letting \( n \to \infty \) we see that for large \( n \) there is a positive upper and lower bound of the above expressions. What ends the proof. \( \square \)

**Corollary 1.** Under the assumptions of Proposition 4 together with
\[
\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{a_n \alpha_n} = \infty,
\]
if \( \liminf S_n > 0 \) then \( u \notin \ell^2 \).

**Proof.** Since \( \liminf S_n > 0 \) by Proposition 4 there exists a constant \( c > 0 \) such that for every \( n \) sufficiently large we have
\[
\frac{c}{a_n \alpha_n} \leq \tilde{S}_n
\]
what ends the proof. \( \square \)

Now we are ready to prove Theorem A.

**Proof of Theorem A.** By virtue of Corollary 4 it is enough to show that \( \liminf S_n > 0 \) for every generalized eigenvector \( \{u_n\} \).

By Proposition 4 there exists \( N \) such that for every \( n \geq N \) holds \( S_n > 0 \). Let us define \( F_n = (S_{n+1} - S_n)/S_n \). Then \( S_{n+1}/S_n = 1 + F_n \), thus
\[
\frac{S_n}{S_N} = \prod_{k=N}^{n-1} (1 + F_k).
\]
Hence
\[
(6) \quad \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} F_n^+ < \infty.
\]
implies \( \liminf S_n > 0 \). Observe that by (4) and (5) we get
\[
S_{n+1} - S_n = \left( a_{n+1} \alpha_{n+1} - \frac{\alpha_{n-1}}{a_{n-1}} a_n^2 \right) u_{n+1}^2 + \left( \frac{\alpha_{n-1}}{a_{n-1}} \frac{\lambda - b_n}{a_n} - \alpha_n (\lambda - b_{n+1}) \right) u_{n+1} u_n.
\]
Therefore

\[ F_n = \frac{S_{n+1} - S_n}{S_n} = \left[ \left( a_{n+1} \alpha_{n+1} - \frac{\alpha_{n-1}}{a_{n-1}} a_n^2 \right) u_{n+1}^2 \frac{S_n}{S_{n+1}} \right. \]

\[ + \left. \frac{\alpha_{n-1}}{a_{n-1}} a_n (\lambda - b_n) - \alpha_n (\lambda - b_{n+1}) \right] \frac{u_n u_{n+1}}{S_n} \frac{S_{n+1}}{S_n}, \]

where \( \widetilde{S}_n = u_n^2 + u_{n+1}^2 \). By Proposition \( \Box \) and \( |u_n u_{n+1}|/\widetilde{S}_n \leq 1 \), there exists a constant \( c > 0 \) such that

\[ F_n \leq \frac{c}{a_n \alpha_n} \left( \left[ a_{n+1} \alpha_{n+1} - \frac{\alpha_{n-1}}{a_{n-1}} a_n^2 \right] - \left[ \frac{\alpha_{n-1}}{a_{n-1}} a_n (\lambda - b_n) - \alpha_n (\lambda - b_{n+1}) \right] \right). \]

Since

\[ \frac{1}{a_n \alpha_n} \left[ a_{n+1} \alpha_{n+1} - \frac{\alpha_{n-1}}{a_{n-1}} a_n^2 \right] - \left[ \frac{\alpha_{n-1}}{a_{n-1}} a_n (\lambda - b_n) - \alpha_n (\lambda - b_{n+1}) \right] \]

and

\[ \frac{1}{a_n \alpha_n} \left| \frac{\alpha_{n-1}}{a_{n-1}} a_n (\lambda - b_n) - \alpha_n (\lambda - b_{n+1}) \right| = \left| \lambda \left( \frac{1}{a_{n-1}} \frac{\alpha_{n-1}}{\alpha_n} - \frac{1}{a_n} \right) + \left( \frac{b_{n+1}}{a_n} - \frac{b_n}{a_{n-1}} \right) \frac{\alpha_{n-1}}{\alpha_n} \right| \]

\[ \leq \left| \lambda \right| \frac{\alpha_{n-1}}{a_{n-1}} \left( \frac{1}{\alpha_n} - \frac{1}{a_n} \right) + \left| \frac{b_{n+1}}{a_n} - \frac{b_n}{a_{n-1}} \frac{\alpha_{n-1}}{\alpha_n} \right| \]

we obtain \( \Box \).

Remark 1. If we replace the condition (b) by

\[ (b') \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \left| \frac{a_{n+1} \alpha_{n+1}}{a_n \alpha_n} - \frac{a_n \alpha_{n-1}}{a_{n-1} \alpha_n} \right| < \infty, \]

then \( \limsup S_n < \infty \) and consequently \( c_1/(a_n \alpha_n) \leq \widetilde{S}_n \leq c_2/(a_n \alpha_n) \) for \( c_1 > 0, c_2 > 0 \). Hence by using subordination method we can show that the spectrum of the matrix \( C \) is purely absolutely continuous (see e.g. [6], [13]).

4. Special cases

In this section we are going to show a few choices of the sequence \( \{\alpha_n\} \) from Theorem A. In this way we show flexibility of our approach.

The following theorem was proven in [13, Theorem 1.6] and is a generalization of [6, Theorem 1.10]. In the proof the authors analyse transfer matrices. Therefore our argument gives an alternative proof.
Theorem 1 (Janas, Moszyński [14]). Assume that

(a) \( \lim_{n \to \infty} a_n = \infty \),
(b) \( \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{a_n} = \infty \),
(c) the sequences \( \left\{ \frac{a_{n-1}}{a_n} \right\} \), \( \left\{ \frac{1}{a_n} \right\} \) and \( \left\{ \frac{b_n}{a_n} \right\} \) are of bounded variation,
(d) \( \lim_{n \to \infty} \frac{|b_n|}{a_n} < 2 \).

Then \( \sigma(C) = \mathbb{R} \) and the matrix \( C \) has purely absolutely continuous spectrum.

Proof. Let \( \alpha_n \equiv 1 \). By virtue of Remark 1 we need to check the assumptions (b’), (d) and (f) of Theorem A.

Since the sequence \( \left\{ \frac{a_{n-1}}{a_n} \right\} \) is of bounded variation it is convergent to a number \( a \). From the condition (b) we have \( a \geq 1 \), whereas the condition (a) gives \( a \leq 1 \). Thus the sequence \( \left\{ \frac{a_{n+1}}{a_n} \right\} \) is of bounded variation as well. This proves the conditions (b’) and (f) of Theorem A.

The sequence \( \left\{ \frac{b_{n+1}}{a_n} \right\} \) is of bounded variation because \( \frac{b_{n+1}}{a_n} = \frac{b_{n+1}}{a_{n+1}} \cdot \frac{a_{n+1}}{a_n} \). The proof is complete. \( \square \)

The next theorem imposes very simple conditions on Jacobi matrices. In Section 5 we show its applications, furthermore in Section 6 we discuss sharpness of the assumptions.

Theorem 2. Assume

(a) \( \lim_{n \to \infty} a_n = \infty \),
(b) \( \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{a_n^2} = \infty \),
(c) \( \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \left[ \left( \frac{a_{n+1}}{a_n} \right)^2 - 1 \right]^- < \infty \),
(d) \( \limsup_{n \to \infty} \frac{|b_n|}{a_n} < 2 \),
(e) \( \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{|b_{n+1} - b_n|}{a_n} < \infty \).

Then the Jacobi matrix \( C \) is self-adjoint and satisfies \( \sigma_p(C) = \emptyset \) and \( \sigma(C) = \mathbb{R} \).

Proof. Apply Theorem A with \( \alpha_n = a_n \). \( \square \)

Special cases of the following theorem were examined in [19] and [14] using commutator methods.
Theorem 3. Let $\log^{(i)}$ be defined by $\log^{(0)}(x) = x, \log^{(i+1)}(x) = \log(\log^{(i)}(x))$. Let $g_j(n) = \prod_{i=1}^{j} \log^{(i)}(n)$. Assume that for positive numbers $K, N$ and for a summable nonnegative sequence $c_n$

(a) $\lim_{n \to \infty} a_n = \infty,$

(b) $1 - c_n \leq \frac{a_n}{a_{n-1}} \leq 1 + \frac{1}{n} + \sum_{j=1}^{K} \frac{1}{ng_j(n)} + c_n$ for $n > N,$

(c) the sequence $\{b_n\}$ is bounded and $\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{|b_{n+1} - b_n|}{a_n} < \infty,$

(d) $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{na_n} < \infty.$

Then $\sigma_p(C) = \emptyset$ and $\sigma(C) = \mathbb{R}.$

Proof. We can assume that $\log^{(K)}(N) > 0.$ Set

$$\alpha_n = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{for } n < N, \\ \frac{ng_K(n)}{a_n} & \text{otherwise}. \end{cases}$$

To get the conclusion we need to check the assumptions (b), (d) and (c) of Theorem A.

To show Theorem A(b) let us observe that the assumption (b) of the present theorem gives

$$\left(\frac{a_n}{a_{n-1}}\right)^2 \leq 1 + \frac{1}{n} + \sum_{j=1}^{K} \frac{1}{ng_j(n)} + c'_n$$

for a summable sequence $c'_n$. Therefore

$$\frac{a_{n+1}}{a_{n}} \alpha_{n} - \frac{a_n}{a_{n-1}} \alpha_n = \frac{n + 1}{n} g_K(n+1) - \frac{a_n}{a_{n-1}} \alpha_n \geq \frac{n + 1}{n} g_K(n+1) - \frac{n - 1}{n} \left(1 + \frac{2}{n} \sum_{j=1}^{K} \frac{2}{ng_j(n)} + c'_n\right) \frac{g_K(n-1)}{g_K(n)}$$

$$\geq \frac{n + 1}{n} g_K(n+1) - \frac{n - 1}{n} \left(\frac{n + 1}{n} + \sum_{j=1}^{K} \frac{2}{ng_j(n)} + c'_n\right) \frac{g_K(n-1)}{g_K(n)}.$$

Since the functions $g_j$ are increasing, we have

$$\frac{n - 1}{n} \left(\frac{g_K(n+1) - g_K(n-1)}{g_K(n)}\right) - \frac{g_K(n-1)}{ng_K(n)} \sum_{j=1}^{K} \frac{2}{g_j(n-1)} - c'_n.$$

Next, observe that

$$g'_K(x) = g_K(x) \sum_{j=1}^{K} \frac{(\log^{(j)})'(x)}{\log^{(j)}(x)}.$$
Therefore
\[ g'_K(x) = g_K(x) \sum_{j=1}^{K} \frac{1}{xg_j(x)}. \]
Hence Taylor’s formula applied to \( g_K \) at the point \( n - 1 \) gives
\[
(n - 1)[g_K(n + 1) - g_K(n - 1)] = g_K(n - 1) \sum_{j=1}^{K} \frac{2}{g_j(n - 1)} + 2(n - 1)g''_K(\xi)
\]
for \( \xi \in (n - 1, n + 1) \). Direct computation shows \( |g''_K(x)| \leq c/x^{3/2} \) for \( x \) sufficiently large and a constant \( c > 0 \). Therefore the right-hand side of (7) is summable.

Next, since
\[
\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{a_n} \left| \frac{a_n - n - 1}{a_n} - \frac{g_K(n - 1)}{g_K(n)} \right| < \infty.
\]
For constants \( K' \) and \( c > 0 \) we have
\[
\frac{a_{n-1}}{a_n} - \frac{n - 1}{n} \frac{g_K(n - 1)}{g_K(n)} \frac{a_n}{a_{n-1}} \geq \frac{1}{1 + K' + c_n} - \left( 1 - \frac{1}{n} \right) \left( 1 + \frac{K'}{n} + c_n \right) \geq -\frac{c}{n} - c''_n
\]
for a summable sequence \( c''_n \). On the other hand
\[
\frac{a_{n-1}}{a_n} - \frac{n - 1}{n} \frac{g_K(n - 1)}{g_K(n)} \frac{a_n}{a_{n-1}} \leq \frac{1}{1 - c_n} - \left( 1 - \frac{1}{n} \right) \frac{g_K(n - 1)}{g_K(n)} (1 - c_n)
\]
\[
= 1 - \frac{g_K(n - 1)}{g_K(n)} + c'_n = \frac{g_K(n) - g_K(n - 1)}{g_K(n)} + c'_n
\]
for a summable sequence \( c'_n \). Hence as previously Taylor’s formula applied to \( g_K \) at the point \( n - 1 \) gives
\[
\frac{a_{n-1}}{a_n} - \frac{n - 1}{n} \frac{g_K(n - 1)}{g_K(n)} \frac{a_n}{a_{n-1}} \leq \frac{c}{n} + c''_n
\]
for a constant \( c > 0 \) and summable sequence \( c''_n \). Finally, condition (d) leads to (8).

\[ \square \]

Remark 2. When we compare Theorem 2 with Theorem 3 we see that Theorem 3 is interesting only in the case when \( \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} 1/a_n^2 < \infty \). In this case the condition Theorem 3(d) is satisfied.

The sequence similar to \( \alpha_n = na_n^{-1} \) was used in the proof of [19 Theorem 4.1] and [14 Theorem 2.1]. There was shown that under the stronger assumptions (which in particular imply \( c_n \equiv 0, b_n \equiv 0 \) and \( K = 0 \)) the measure \( \mu \) is absolutely continuous. Whether \( \sigma(C) = \mathbb{R} \) was not investigated.

Example 1. Let \( K > 0 \). Fix \( M \) such that \( \log^{(K)}(M) > 0 \). Then for the sequences \( a_n = (n + M)g_K(n + M) \) and \( b_n \equiv 0 \) the assumptions of Theorem 3 are satisfied.
5. Applications of Theorem 2

5.1. Birth and death processes. Given sequences \( \{\lambda_n\}_{n=0}^{\infty} \) and \( \{\mu_n\}_{n=0}^{\infty} \) such that \( \lambda_n > 0, \mu_{n+1} > 0 \) \((n \geq 0)\) and \( \mu_0 \geq 0 \) we set

\[
Q = \begin{pmatrix}
-\lambda_0 - \mu_0 & \lambda_0 & 0 & 0 & \ldots \\
\mu_1 & -\lambda_1 - \mu_1 & \lambda_1 & 0 & \ldots \\
0 & \mu_2 & -\lambda_2 - \mu_2 & \lambda_2 & \ldots \\
0 & 0 & \mu_3 & -\lambda_3 - \mu_3 & \ldots \\
\vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots
\end{pmatrix}
\]

Let us define

\[
\ell^2(\pi) = \{ x \in \mathbb{C}^\mathbb{N} : \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \pi_n |x_n|^2 < \infty \}, \quad \langle x, y \rangle_{\ell^2(\pi)} = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \pi_n x_n y_n
\]

where

\[
\pi_0 = 1, \quad \pi_n = \frac{\lambda_0 \lambda_1 \ldots \lambda_{n-1}}{\mu_1 \mu_2 \ldots \mu_n}.
\]

The operator \( Q \) is well-defined on the domain \( \text{Dom}(Q) = \{ x \in \ell^2(\pi) : Qx \in \ell^2(\pi) \} \). Notice that any sequence with finite support belongs to \( \text{Dom}(Q) \). If the operator \( Q \) is self-adjoint it is of a probabilistic interest to examine the spectrum \( \sigma(Q) \) of the operator \( Q \) (see e.g. [16]).

**Theorem 4.** Let \( a = (\mu_1, \lambda_1, \mu_2, \lambda_2, \mu_3, \lambda_3, \ldots) \). Assume

(a) \( \lim_{n \to \infty} a_n = \infty \),

(b) \( \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{a_n} = \infty \),

(c) \( \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \left[ \frac{a_{n+1}}{a_n} - 1 \right]^{-} < \infty \).

Then the matrix \( Q \) is self-adjoint and satisfies \( \sigma_{p}(Q) = \emptyset \) and \( \sigma(Q) = (-\infty, 0] \).

**Proof.** Let \( P \) be a diagonal matrix with entries \( \sqrt{\pi_n} \) on the main diagonal. Then we have

\( \tilde{C} = P Q P^{-1} \), where \( \tilde{C} \) is the Jacobi matrix associated with sequences \( \tilde{a}_n = \sqrt{\lambda_n \mu_{n+1}} \) and \( \tilde{b}_n = - (\lambda_n + \mu_n) \) (see [17 Section 2]). Since the matrix \( P : \ell^2(\pi) \to \ell^2 \) is an isometry (hence \( P \) and \( P^{-1} \) are bounded) it is enough to consider only the spectrum of \( \tilde{C} \). By virtue of Proposition 2 it is sufficient to consider the spectrum of the matrix \( \tilde{C} \), corresponding with the sequences \( \{a_n\} \) and \( \{-b_n\} \).

Let us consider the case \( \mu_0 = 0 \). Let \( \tilde{b}_n \equiv 0 \) and

\( \tilde{a} = (\sqrt{\lambda_0}, \sqrt{\mu_1}, \sqrt{\lambda_1}, \sqrt{\mu_2}, \sqrt{\lambda_2}, \ldots) \).

Observe that by Proposition 3 we have \( \tilde{C}_o = \tilde{C} \). Hence, by Theorem 2 the conclusion follows.

Next, suppose that \( \mu_0 > 0 \). Let \( \tilde{b}_n \equiv 0 \) and

\( \tilde{a} = (\sqrt{\mu_0}, \sqrt{\lambda_0}, \sqrt{\mu_1}, \sqrt{\lambda_1}, \sqrt{\mu_2}, \sqrt{\lambda_2}, \ldots) \).

Applying Proposition 3 to \( \tilde{C}_o = \tilde{C} \) by Theorem 2 we finish the proof. \( \Box \)
In [20] the following conjecture about spectral properties of operators of the form (9) was stated.

**Conjecture 1** (Roehner and Valent [20]). Assume that

\[
\lim_{n \to \infty} \frac{\mu_n}{\lambda_n} = 1, \quad \lim_{n \to \infty} \frac{\lambda_n}{n^\alpha} = a
\]

for constants \(a > 0\) and \(0 < \alpha \leq 2\). Then \(\sigma_p(Q) = \emptyset\).

In [3] it was shown that without additional assumptions the conjecture is false. In Theorem 4 we provide sufficient conditions when Conjecture 1 holds.

It is worthwhile to compare Theorem 4 with results obtained in [17]. Let

\[
\lim_{n \to \infty} \frac{\mu_n}{\lambda_n} = q \quad (0 < q < \infty).
\]

Then in [17] was concluded that under additional assumptions (which in particular imply \(\lambda_{k+1}/\lambda_k \to 1, \mu_{k+1}/\mu_k \to 1, \lambda_k \to \infty\) and \(\alpha < 1\)) the matrix \(Q\) satisfies \(\sigma_{ess}(Q) = \emptyset\). However, there is a problem in the proof of Lemma 1(iii) on the page 69. The author states that

\[
\frac{\sqrt{\lambda_k \mu_{k+1}}}{\lambda_k + \mu_k + \zeta} < \frac{1}{2}, \quad \frac{\sqrt{\lambda_k \mu_{k+1}}}{\lambda_{k+1} + \mu_{k+1} + \zeta} < \frac{1}{2},
\]

for certain \(\zeta > 0\) and \(q = 1\) is impossible because the left-hand sides converge to \(1/2\). In fact Theorem 4 implies the opposite conclusion to results from [17].

In fact what we need is

\[
\frac{\sqrt{\lambda_k \mu_{k+1}}}{\lambda_k + \mu_k} < \frac{1}{2} - \epsilon, \quad \frac{\sqrt{\lambda_k \mu_{k+1}}}{\lambda_{k+1} + \mu_{k+1}} < \frac{1}{2} - \epsilon
\]

for certain \(\epsilon > 0\), which, under the assumption \(q \neq 1\) is strictly less than \(1/4\). Therefore [3, Theorem 1] (for a functional analytic proof see [23, Theorem 2.6]) combined with Proposition [2] implies that if the matrix \(Q\) is self-adjoint and \(\lambda_k \to \infty\) then \(\sigma_{ess}(Q) = \emptyset\).

5.2. Chihara’s conjecture. In [1] (see also [2, IV-Theorem 4.2]) the following result was proven.

**Theorem 5** (Chihara [1]). Assume that a Jacobi matrix \(C\) is self-adjoint, \(b_n \to \infty\), the smallest point \(\rho\) of \(\sigma_{ess}(C)\) is finite and

\[
\lim_{n \to \infty} \frac{\lambda_n \mu_{n+1}}{(\lambda_n + \mu_n)(\lambda_{n+1} + \mu_{n+1})} = \frac{q}{(1 + q)^2}
\]

what under the assumption \(q \neq 1\) is strictly less than \(1/4\). Therefore [3, Theorem 1] (for a functional analytic proof see [23, Theorem 2.6]) combined with Proposition [2] implies that if the matrix \(Q\) is self-adjoint and \(\lambda_k \to \infty\) then \(\sigma_{ess}(Q) = \emptyset\).

It suggests the following conjecture stated in [4] and [5].

**Conjecture 2** (Chihara [4], [5]). Let the assumptions of Theorem 5 be satisfied. Then \(\sigma_{ess}(C) = [\rho, \infty)\).
The following theorem gives sufficient (and easy to verify) additional conditions for Conjecture 2 to hold. In fact every Jacobi matrix with \( b_n \equiv 0 \) and \( a_{n+1}/a_n \to 1 \) from this article provides an example (via Proposition 3) when Conjecture 2 holds.

**Theorem 6.** Assume

(a) \( \lim_{n \to \infty} a_n = \infty \),

(b) \( \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{a_n} = \infty \),

(c) \( \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \left[ \frac{a_{n+1}}{a_n} - 1 \right]^- < \infty \),

(d) \( \lim_{n \to \infty} [a_{n-1} - b_n + a_n] = M \).

Then the Jacobi matrix \( C \) satisfies \( \sigma_{\text{ess}}(C) = [-M, \infty) \). Moreover, if \( a_{n+1}/a_n \to 1 \) then

\[
\lim_{n \to \infty} \frac{a_n^2}{b_n b_{n+1}} = \frac{1}{4}.
\]

**Proof.** We show (10) by a direct computation. Without loss of generality we may assume that \( M = 0 \). Let \( -r_n = a_{n-1} - b_n + a_n \). Then \( a_{n-1} - (b_n - r_n) + a_n = 0 \). Let \( \tilde{C} \) be the Jacobi matrix for sequences \( \tilde{a}_n = a_n, \tilde{b}_n = b_n - r_n \). The matrix \( R = C - \tilde{C} \) defines a compact self-adjoint operator on \( \ell^2 \) (because \( r_n \to 0 \)). Hence, by the Weyl perturbation theorem (see [24]), \( \sigma_{\text{ess}}(C) = \sigma_{\text{ess}}(\tilde{C}) \). Theorem 3 implies that \( \sigma_{\text{ess}}(\tilde{C}) = (-\infty, 0] \). Finally, Proposition 2 applied to the matrix \( \tilde{C} \) finishes the proof. \( \square \)

6. Examples

**Example 2.** Let \( b_n \equiv 0, \epsilon > 0, a_0 = \epsilon \) and \( a_{2k-1} = a_{2k} = \tilde{a}_k \) \( (k \geq 1) \) for a sequence \( \tilde{a}_k, \tilde{a}_k \to \infty \). Then the matrix \( C \) is always self-adjoint. Moreover, 0 is its eigenvalue if and only if

\[
\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \left( \frac{a_0 a_2 \cdots a_{2k}}{a_1 a_3 \cdots a_{2k+1}} \right)^2 \leq \epsilon^2 \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{\tilde{a}_k^2} < \infty,
\]

(see e.g. [12, Theorem 3.2]). Therefore the condition Theorem 2(b) could not be weakened even for the class of monotonic sequences \( a_n \).

In [13] it was shown that for \( \tilde{a}_k = k^\alpha \), \( (\alpha \in (0, 1)) \) the spectrum \( \sigma(C) = \mathbb{R} \). In case \( \alpha \leq 1/2 \) the measure \( \mu(\cdot) = (E(\cdot)\delta_0, \delta_0) \) is absolutely continuous, whereas for \( \alpha > 1/2 \) the measure \( \mu \) is absolutely continuous on the set \( \mathbb{R} \setminus \{0\} \).

**Example 3.** Let \( b_n \equiv 0 \) and \( a_n = n^\alpha + c_n \) \( (0 < \alpha \leq 2/3) \) where \( c_{2n} = 1 \) and \( c_{2n+1} = 0 \). Then (see [9]) \( \sigma(C) = \mathbb{R} \setminus (-1, 1) \) and the measure \( \mu \) is absolutely continuous on \( \mathbb{R} \setminus [-1, 1] \). It shows that the condition Theorem 2(c) could not be replaced by \( [(a_{n+1}/a_n)^2 - 1]^- \to 0 \).

**Example 4.** Let \( a_0 = 1 \) and for \( k! \leq n < (k+1)! \) we define \( a_n = \sqrt[k]{n!} \). For \( n > 0 \) we have

\[
\frac{a_{n+1}}{a_n} = \begin{cases} \sqrt[k]{n} & \text{if } n + 1 = k! \\ 1 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}
\]
Define $b_n \equiv 0$. We have $a_n \leq \sqrt{n+1}$. Therefore $\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{a_n^2} = \infty$. Observe that the assumptions of Theorem 2 are satisfied. Moreover, $a_{n+1}/a_n \to 1$ and [14 Theorem 3.1] nor [11 Lemma 2.6] cannot be applied.
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